

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/503,240	02/14/2000	Ki-Seon Kim	P55971	6278
8439	7590 10/22/2002			
ROBERT E. BUSHNELL 1522 K STREET NW / SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			FISHER, MICHAEL J	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 200051202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	19
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/503,240		KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Michael J Fisher		3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,13,16 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) <u>2-12,14,15,17-20 and 22-25</u> is/are objection	ected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b) 🗌 objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 09/503,240

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,13,16 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,233,026. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all claims are directed toward a monitor case with snap fittings. The patent claims all the various snap fittings, snap portions, snap pins, shoulder portions, engaging pins, detents, holes and surfaces. The difference being that the patent further claims a lower shield that is absent from the instant application and does not claim the rear casing. However, the use of a rear casing would be obvious as the pins of the front casing would, of necessity, engage with something and this would be a rear casing.



Application/Control Number: 09/503,240

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beak.

Beak discloses a monitor (fig 1) with a front casing (10), which encloses a cathode ray tube (20), a rear casing (40), the front casing having a snap portion and (11 and 11') at the bottom of the front case (fig 1) that are received in a slot in the rear case (in 42 and 42' respectively). Beak further discloses pins (not numbered but best seen in fig 1 around the periphery of the rear case) that would be accepted by openings in the front case. Beak further discloses a snap portion (41,42) The slot has a receiving section with snap pin (below feature 12 as best seen in fig 3) that engages the engaging pin to hold it in place. Beak does not disclose the snap portion at top of the casing, however, shifting of parts is a matter of obvious design choice that is well settle in case law (In re Japikse 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)) which states that shifting the location of parts would have been within the general skill of a worker in the art, it would have been well within the purview and obvious to shift the location of the portions as claimed. The method of claim 21 would produce this configuration and is therefore inherently disclosed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/503,240

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 2-12,14,15,17-20 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 3629.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

MF ^{||||r||}
October 17, 2002

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

pr. ch